

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/073,174	Applicant(s) SUN, LEE KYU	
	Examiner Dionne A. Walls	Art Unit 1731	

All Participants:

(1) Dionne A. Walls.

(2) Eugene Lee.

Date of Interview: 24 November 2003

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
12

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested changing the recitation of claim 12 - which reflected adding plant materials at $\pm 0.1\%$ - since it was unclear what was meant by this expression since material cannot be added in a "negative" amount. It was determined by both Examiner and Applicant's representative, Mr. Lee, that an accurate reading of this percentage amount would be 0.9% based on "Example 6", on page 16 of the originally filed instant specification, which indicates that the plant components claimed are mixed at a weight ratio of 596:395:3:3:3 - compared to tobacco and Eum-Yang-Kwak leaves - which results in a percentage 0.9%. Applicant agreed to the changes, which is reflected in the attached Examiner's amendment.